1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. REILLY: Good morning, your Honor, Katherine Reilly and Noah Solowiejczyk for the government.

MS. SCOLARI: Good morning, your Honor, Lisa Scolari for Mr. Lisi.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Mr. Lisi. I can see you. Can you see me this morning, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning, sir. Sir, if at any point you have any difficulty hearing or understanding what's going on, I would ask you please to perhaps just raise your hand and we will know that there is something going on.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At the moment there are no problems hearing me?

THE DEFENDANT: No. There is a little bit of a delay, your Honor, but I can hear you just fine.

THE COURT: I will keep that in mind. I have no delay at this end, but I will keep in mind that there is one at your end.

Sir, at this time do you have in front of you a copy of the indictment in this case and a copy of a letter to Ms. Scolari that is dated the 28th of March?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Scolari and I will talk for just a moment and then I am going to talk back with you.

MS. SCOLARI: May I make a request before we go further?

THE COURT: Of course.

MS. SCOLARI: As your Honor knows, the camera only shows the bench, and I had indicated to Mr. Lisi that we can put on the record everyone who is in the courtroom since he can't see. I think it would be appropriate to let him know that.

THE COURT: At this time, Mr. Lisi, there are the two prosecutors who introduced themselves, Mr. Solowiejczyk and Ms. Reilly; Ms. Scolari is here; Mr. Greenblum, the court reporter, is here; Mr. Lopez, my deputy, is here; I am here; my law clerk, Mr. Bertoldi, is here; and there is a gentleman in the back of the courtroom.

MR. SMYTHE: Special Agent Smythe.

THE COURT: And he is going to step up and sit with the prosecutors right now. That's who we have got in the courtroom right now.

Mr. Lisi, you cannot see Ms. Scolari, but she is here and I do understand, sir, that if at any time you wish to speak with her, there are arrangements that we can make to have a phone call that you could place to the robing room that's next to this courtroom and you would be able to have a private

H42 MseSP:15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 4 of 40

1 conversation with her.

Mr. Lisi, it is my understanding, sir, that you wish to plead guilty this morning to Count One of the indictment that's in front of you right now and it has the docket number 15 Cr. 457. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, before I can accept your plea, I need to ask you a series of questions and they are designed to establish different things. What I'd first like to do is make sure that you're competent to enter a plea of guilty, that you are not under the influence of anything medicinal, emotional that would keep you from seeing or hearing or understanding what's going on this morning. I would also like to make sure that you know the rights that you have and the rights that you would be waiving by entering a plea of guilty. I'd like to talk to you about the charge in this case to which you propose to plead guilty, the elements of that charge, and the penalties that are associated with that charge. Finally, I would just like to talk to you and understand what it is you did that makes you believe that you are guilty of this offense.

Sir, I want to ask you some background questions first, but I will just say that the most important thing this morning is that you understand what's going on. As I mentioned earlier, if there is anything that is unclear, either because of technological issues or because of the nature of the

1 | question I'm asking, please let me know. All right, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Sir, if I don't hear from you I will understand that you understand what's going on.

Let me begin with this question, sir. It is my understanding that for a number of reasons you're at FCI Fort Dix this morning, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In speaking with your counsel I understand that for various reasons, including medical reasons, it is your preference to remain at FCI Fort Dix and to enter your guilty plea from there by video conferencing. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand, sir, that in other circumstances, if you wanted to, you would have a right to be present here in the courtroom with me now and we could make arrangements for your transportation to the Southern District of New York. Are you aware of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And so have you, in consultation with your counsel, decided to waive that right to be present this morning?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, I have spoken with your counsel about various medical and other issues. It would be my thought

placing you under oath is that you are now under oath and any

statements that you make that are false could subject you to

24

25

H4 24 5 15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 8 of 40

any difficulty seeing or hearing or understanding what's going on this morning?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Would you mind letting me know what medications have been prescribed for you, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I'm on the following — I have the following medications that I take on a daily basis, sometimes more than once a day. I'll try and sound them out phonetically: Amlodipine; Lisinopril; hydrochlorizide; montelukast sodium; tiotropium bromide, duloxetine HCI, ibuprofen, 800 milligrams; budesonide formoterol, chlorhexidine gluconate; and Albuterol, your Honor.

THE COURT: And none of these, either alone or in combination, would cause you to feel fuzzy or have any difficulties understanding what's going on today, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. Two of them are pain medications, but nothing that should impact today's proceedings at all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, other than the medical conditions for which you've been prescribed, these substances, are you under medical treatment for anything else? Are you receiving any other medical care, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Other than for these -- other than that these medications are directed for?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly, do you have any doubt as to Mr. Lisi's competence to plead guilty?

MS. REILLY: No, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, based on your responses to my questions, which would include both the actual words that you used and my observations of your demeanor here on the video, and based as well on the conversations that I've just had with your attorney and with the attorney for government, I find that you are fully competent to enter a knowing and informed plea of guilty.

Sir, I am going to ask you please to direct your attention to the indictment in this case. Do you have that in front of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: I know I have asked you questions about it previously, but I do want to reask a few of them. Have you at any point prior to today read this indictment, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: And, in particular, have you read Count

One of the indictment, which is the count to which you propose
to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had a sufficient opportunity to speak with Ms. Scolari about Count One, the charge to which you intend to plead guilty, and any possible defenses that you

1 | might have to that charge?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And has she discussed with you the consequences of entering a plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with her representation of you in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, what I'd like to do now is talk to you about certain constitutional rights that you have and that you would be giving up by entering a plea of guilty. As I mentioned earlier, if there is anything that is unclear to you, please let me know and either your attorney or I will take a moment to speak to you about the right in question.

Let me begin in this manner. Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to plead not guilty or to continue with your plea of not guilty to Count One of the indictment. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And if you continued with your plea of not guilty, you would be entitled to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges contained in this indictment. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At a trial you would be presumed to be

H48 MS-15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 12 of 40

innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: A jury of 12 people would have to agree unanimously that you were guilty and you would not have to prove that you were innocent if you were to proceed to trial. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At a trial and at every stage of your prosecution you are entitled to the assistance of an attorney. And if at any point you could not afford an attorney, one would be appointed for you at public expense and free of cost to you in order to represent you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial in this case, the witnesses for the government would have to come to open court and testify in your presence and your attorney could cross-examine the witnesses for the government, she could object to evidence offered by the government, she could offer evidence on your own behalf if you wanted her to. You would also have the right to have subpoenas or other documents or process used in order to compel witnesses to testify in your defense. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And if there were a trial in this case,

Mr. Lisi, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to

do so, and you would have the right not to testify if you

wanted not to do so. And if you decided not to testify, no

one, including the jury, could draw any inference or suggestion

of guilt from your decision not to testify. Do you understand

that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, another consequence of entering a plea of guilty is that you waive your right to seek suppression or exclusion of the government's evidence against you. Are you aware of that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had enough of an opportunity to speak with Ms. Scolari about whether there is a basis for you to seek suppression or exclusion of part or all of the government's evidence against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand, sir, that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal from the jury's verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Even now, Mr. Lisi, as you are entering this guilty plea, you have the right to change your mind and to enter a plea of not guilty, which in this case means continuing

with the plea of not guilty that you have made to the charges in the indictment. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: If you plead quilty and if I accept your quilty plea, you will give up your right to trial and the other rights that I have just been discussing with you other than your right to counsel. You have the right to counsel whether you plead guilty or go to trial. But if you plead guilty and if I accept your guilty plea, there will be no trial and I will enter a judgment of guilty on Count One of the indictment and I'll sentence you at a later date based on the information that I receive from you today, information that I receive from the probation office in what's called the presentence investigation report, and information that I receive from you and your attorney and from the attorneys for the government in connection with sentencing. If you plead guilty and if I accept your guilty plea, there would be no appeal on the issue of whether the government could use the evidence that it has against you and there would be no appeal on the issue of whether you did in fact commit the offense charged in Count One. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, if you plead guilty, there is a degree to which you will have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself and that is because later on in this

proceeding I will be asking you some questions to confirm that you are in fact guilty of the offense charged in Count One and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt of that offense. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, do you understand each of the rights that I've been discussing with you for the past few minutes?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And would you like me to provide any additional or clarifying information about any of those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, are you willing to give up your right to a trial and the other rights that I've been discussing with you and enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's turn to the count itself. You are charged in this Count One with conspiring to commit wire fraud in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1349.

Ms. Reilly or Mr. Solowiejczyk, could you please state the elements of this offense.

MS. REILLY: Certainly, your Honor. As you said,
Count One charges a conspiracy to commit wire fraud. That

H42 4 15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 16 of 40

offense has two elements: First, the existence of the conspiracy charged; that is, an agreement or understanding between at least two people to violate the laws of the United States; here, the laws that make it a crime to commit the offense of wire fraud; and, second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of a conspiracy.

The object of the conspiracy charged in Count One, as I said, is wire fraud, which is codified in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343. That crime has three elements: First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises; second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully participated in that scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud; and, third, that in the execution of that scheme the defendant used or caused use of interstate wires.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, were you able to hear the prosecutor?

THE DEFENDANT: I was, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that if you were to proceed to trial on Count One, those are the elements of the offense that the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly, is this a situation in which there is venue in the Southern District of New York or was venue waived?

MS. REILLY: There is venue in the Southern District, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, just to be clear, what I mean by that is, the government would also have to prove by a lesser standard, a preponderance of the evidence standard, that some piece of that conduct took place in the Southern District of New York, which would include Manhattan, the Bronx, Westchester County, and certain counties north of that. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me then turn to a different topic, which is the maximum possible penalties that are associated with the offense charged in Count One. And I am deliberately using the term maximum to connote the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't mean that's necessarily what you are going to receive, but I do want you to understand that by pleading guilty you are exposing yourself to receiving any combination of punishments up to the statutory maximum terms that I am about to describe. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me first talk to you about possible restrictions on your liberty. The maximum term of imprisonment

H48 Msd 1915-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 18 of 40

for Count One is 20 years' imprisonment. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: And that could be followed by a term of up to three years of supervised release. When I use the term supervised release, what I mean is, a period of time where you would be subject to supervision by the United States Probation Office. There would be terms and conditions of supervised release that you would have to follow. And if you were to violate those terms and conditions, the possibility exists that you could be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time. If that were to take place, you would not receive credit for time that you had served in prison on any sentence of imprisonment, and you would not receive credit for any time that you had spent on supervised release without incident. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that there is no parole in the federal system and so if you are sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you would not be released early on parole. There is an opportunity to earn credit for good behavior, but even then you'd have to serve at least 85 percent of the term, approximately, of the term to which you are sentenced. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

penalties that are also associated with this offense. The maximum allowable fine in this case is the greatest of these three things: Either \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself, whichever is the greatest. I can order restitution to any person or entity injured as a result of your criminal conduct. I can order you to forfeit property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense, and I must order a mandatory special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction. Do you understand, sir, that these are the maximum possible penalties that are associated with the Count One offense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you a United States citizen, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I ask that because some folks who plead guilty before me are not, and a guilty plea can have adverse immigration consequences that I won't discuss with you further.

Do you understand, instead, sir, that as a result of your guilty plea there are certain civil rights that you may lose or that you may not be able to obtain in the future, including the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess a firearm?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Sir, I appreciate that you're currently serving a sentence for another offense. In terms of pending prosecutions, is this case the only pending case that you have outstanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that there is a possibility that the sentence that I impose in this case could be consecutive to the sentence that you are currently serving that brings you to Fort Dix?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have familiarity with the United States Sentencing Guidelines? When I use the term guidelines or sentencing guidelines, do you know what I'm talking about?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And have you had enough of an opportunity to speak with Ms. Scolari about the process of sentencing in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to speak with Ms. Scolari about the guidelines and how they might apply to your case?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: The reason I'm asking that, sir, is the

following. I want to make sure that you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to predict for you what your ultimate sentence will be, their prediction may be wrong. No one involved in this plea this morning, not your attorney, not the government's attorneys, nor anyone else, can give you any assurance of what your ultimate sentence will be. I expect that I will be the judge sentencing you and I need to have much more information than I currently do. I'll need to hear from you this morning, I'll need to hear from the probation office in the presentence investigation report, and I'll need to hear from you and your attorney and from the attorneys for the government in connection with sentencing.

Do you understand, sir, that today no one can assure you or give you any assurance as to what your ultimate sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand, sir, that even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else might have told you it might be, even if it is different from what you expect it or hoped for, and even if it is different from what's contained in any agreement you might have with the government, you would still be bound by your guilty plea and you would not be permitted to withdraw your plea of guilty based merely on dissatisfaction with the sentence received in this case. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, may I take a moment to speak with my counsel. Is that possible? I'm sorry for interrupting the proceedings.

THE COURT: Not at all, sir. There is no problem at all. Let's do that. I think what's going to happen is, you'll place a phone call to us. We have been given a number in which to call us. Do you have that number, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: We will ask you, please, to turn off the microphone at your end when you begin the call so that we are not hearing the nature of the call. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: OK. Thank you, your Honor.

(Recess)

2.3

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, did you have enough of an opportunity to speak with Ms. Scolari about the issues that were causing you concern?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor. Again, I'm sorry for interrupting the proceedings. I was able to speak with her, though.

THE COURT: Sir, you can interrupt the proceedings as many times as you want. Again, this is to make sure you understand what's going on.

MS. SCOLARI: Judge, can I just say, I'm back in the courtroom with everybody, Mr. Lisi.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, I'm sorry, because you cannot

H48 MS-15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 23 of 40

see this, Ms. Scolari walked in at the same time you were walking to your seat. She is now seated back in the courtroom with the rest of us.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, the last question I asked you I am going to reask because I want to make sure because we are at the same place. That is, you do understand, sir, that if the sentence you receive is ultimately not the sentence that you thought you were receiving, that would not be a basis, that dissatisfaction with your sentence would not be a basis for withdrawing your plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, I understand that there is a written plea agreement that is entered into between you and your attorney and the attorneys for the government. In furtherance of that I have been given a document that I've marked Court Exhibit 1. It is a March 28, 2017 letter from Ms. Reilly and Mr. Solowiejczyk and Mr. Kim to Ms. Scolari. Do you have a copy of that letter in front of you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, the copy that I have has six pages to it. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the last page of your document and tell me if on yours the sixth page is the last page of your document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: There are four signatures on the copy that I have in front of me. Do you have four signatures on the copy in front of you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not, your Honor.

MS. REILLY: Your Honor, if I might, because of the logistics of the setup, Mr. Lisi signed the original plea agreement you have in front of you and then only then did the government sign, both Mr. Kim and I. So Mr. Lisi signed in person, but doesn't have a fully signed executed copy.

THE COURT: I understand that now.

Ms. Scolari, how would you like to address this?
Would you like to come up here and see the document? Have you seen it?

MS. SCOLARI: I have seen it, your Honor, and I had indicated to Mr. Lisi that if it was possible we would hold the document up to show him the signatures. I don't know if it will be clear enough for him to see, but perhaps the Court could indicate that the signatures are there.

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, can I ask you to walk towards the camera and see if you can show this document.

Mr. Lisi, do you see the document?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I see a piece of paper with some writing on it, but it's not a hundred percent clear. If my attorney has seen the signatures, you know, obviously, I trust my attorney to tell me that she has seen the signatures.

MS. SCOLARI: I have seen them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, let me tell you the signatures that I have in front of me. I have Ms. Reilly as one signatory, I have Mr. Kim, or someone signing on his behalf, as the cochief of the complex frauds unit, and then I have two signatures at the bottom. I believe one of them is Ms. Scolari's and one of them is yours. Am I correct that you signed a version of this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.

MS. SCOLARI: Judge, actually, he signed that actual agreement. I brought it back from Fort Dix. That's his original and my original signatures.

THE COURT: The record will now reflect that.

Mr. Lisi, the document that I have indicates that you signed this agreement on Friday, the 31st of March. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before you signed this agreement, did you read it, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you understand it?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: Did you understand it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And did you have enough of an opportunity

to discuss this document with Ms. Scolari before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I would like to just review a couple of the provisions in the agreement with you, sir. What I would ask, please, is, can I ask you to turn to page 2 of the agreement and let me know when you've arrived there.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm there, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, the very first paragraph on that page indicates that as part of your plea in this case you are admitting to the forfeiture allegation that is associated with Count One of the indictment and in so doing you are agreeing to forfeit to the United States property that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense. Am I correct, sir, are you agreeing to forfeit the proceeds of the offense in this case? This is the very first paragraph on page 2.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So do I understand that you are admitting to the forfeiture allegation that is contained at the back of the indictment in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, in the next paragraph I'm understanding that what you are agreeing to do is, you are agreeing to make restitution in an amount that I would order at some later date based on the information that I would need to figure out whether there are individuals or entities who have

H40 MSe 1.15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 27 of 40

been injured as a result of your conduct. Are you in fact agreeing to make restitution?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: And do you also understand that the agreement to make restitution would be a condition of supervised release in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And, sir, on the remainder of page 2, and then carrying over on to page 3, what I understand this to be are a series of guidelines stipulations. You and your attorney and the attorneys for the government are agreeing that the sentencing guidelines apply to your case in a particular manner. Is that your understanding as well, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, the information about the guidelines on pages 2 and 3 is what you and your attorney and the attorneys for the government believe to be the manner in which the guidelines apply to your case. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that question.

THE COURT: No. I'll ask the question better. Is it my understanding that the stipulations here on pages 2 and 3 of the agreement represent how you and your attorney and the attorneys for the government believe the guidelines apply to your particular case. Is that correct?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Sir, I want you to understand that I'm not a signatory to this plea agreement and I have an independent obligation to calculate the guidelines. I will do that in connection with your sentencing. I don't know that I will come up with any different numbers, but I did want you to understand that I am not bound by these stipulations. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if I come up with a different range than the range that is contained in this agreement, that my coming up with a different range would not be a basis for you to withdraw your guilty plea in this case.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, could I ask you, please, to turn to page 4 of the agreement and let me know when you've gotten there.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm there, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, if you look at the very last paragraph on this page, and it carries over onto page 5, what I understand this paragraph to be is a series of waivers that you and the government are entering into with respect to your sentence. And in each case you and the government are agreeing that if you are sentenced in a particular way, you would be

giving up your right to appeal or challenge that component of your sentence. Is that your understanding of what this paragraph is as well?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Let me talk to you about your waiver, sir, since I've been speaking with you this morning. I am understanding, in the first instance, that you are waiving or giving up your right to appeal or otherwise challenge a sentence of imprisonment that is within or below the stipulated guidelines range of 51 to 63 months. If I were to sentence you to a term of 63 months' imprisonment or something less than that, you would be giving up your right to appeal or otherwise challenge that piece of your sentence. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I also understand, and I see this on the next page, that you are agreeing not to appeal any term of supervised release that is less than or equal to the statutory maximum of three years. So if I were to sentence you to a term of supervised release of three years or something less than that, you are agreeing not to appeal that piece of your sentence. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I also understand that you are agreeing not to appeal any fine that is less than or equal to \$75,000. If I were to impose a fine of \$75,000 or something

H46 Msc 12:15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 30 of 40

less than that, you are agreeing not to appeal that piece of your sentence. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I did note, I should have mentioned this earlier, I see in this paragraph as well that with respect to the term of imprisonment you are agreeing that the waiver I discussed with you a few moments ago, which is that you would not appeal any term of imprisonment that was 63 months or below, that would apply whether or not I ordered that term of imprisonment to run consecutively to or concurrently with the undischarged portion of imprisonment that you are now serving. Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, does this plea agreement contain the complete and total understanding of your plea agreement with the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anything been left out, sir, to the best of your knowledge?

THE DEFENDANT: Not to the best of my knowledge, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: Other than what is contained in this agreement, has anyone made you any promise or offered you any form of inducement in order to get you either to plead guilty or to sign this agreement that I have before me this morning?

1 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or to sign this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone made you any promise as to what your ultimate sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, could you please tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe that you are guilty of Count One of the indictment.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Between 2009 and 2014, I agreed with others to cause an individual to authorize disbursement of money based on what I knew to be false information. The money was transferred from one bank to another.

THE COURT: Sir, did either of these banks or did this transaction take place in the Southern District of New York?

MS. SCOLARI: Your Honor, the government may have to add to that. I don't know if Mr. Lisi can answer the venue issue.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, let me just repeat to you what
Ms. Scolari just said. One of the issues that I must address
is whether or not venue has been met. I don't know if you have
an awareness of any conduct taking place in Manhattan or
Westchester or the Bronx. Perhaps what I'll do, instead, is, I

H46 MS-19. 15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 32 of 40

will get the government's proffer regarding venue in this case.
Is that all right, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly.

2.3

MS. REILLY: Certainly, your Honor. The government proffers that if we were to proceed to trial in this matter the government would introduce evidence that certain actions in furtherance of the conspiracy took place in the Southern District of New York; specifically, that at least one or more victims of the conspiracy caused money to be transferred from a bank located in Manhattan and that a coconspirator and a victim of the conspiracy met with an attorney seeking to further the objects of the conspiracy at an office in Manhattan.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, were you able to hear the prosecutor a moment ago?

THE DEFENDANT: For the most part, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: What she indicated to me was that the transfer from one bank to another involved a bank that was located in Manhattan and that there was as well a meeting with both a coconspirator and a victim of the conspiracy that took place at an office in Manhattan. That would seem to satisfy the venue obligation. Do you agree, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: OK, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't want you to just agree with me.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1 MS. SCOLARI: Your Honor, I guess I would just 2 reiterate that Mr. Lisi doesn't know. That's why we have asked 3 the government to proffer. I certainly don't object to what 4 the government has said. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, were you able to hear Ms. Scolari a moment ago? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Scolari, do you know of any valid 8 defense that would prevail at trial or any reason why your 9 10 client should not be permitted to plead quilty? 11 MS. SCOLARI: I do not, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, when I was hearing from you a 13 moment ago I saw that you had some notes written down. Are 14 these your notes to aid you in speaking with me this morning? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: The issue that concerned me, sir, I want 17 to make sure you are telling me your words and not someone 18 else's. These are your words. Yes, sir? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, when you agreed with this 21 person, the person with whom you had the agreement to cause

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, when you agreed with this person, the person with whom you had the agreement to cause someone else to authorize disbursements, the person with whom you had the agreement, this person wasn't a government agent or a law enforcement officer, right? They were a civilian, correct?

22

2.3

24

25

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand that. And when you agreed with this person to cause someone else to authorize disbursements based on false information, did you understand that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly, is there an additional question that you would like me to ask?

MS. REILLY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there an obligation that the bank be federally insured or has that issue been resolved?

MS. REILLY: Your Honor, I don't think that's an issue for this charge.

THE COURT: Would you please summarize briefly what types of evidence would be introduced if this case were to treed to trial.

MS. REILLY: Certainly, your Honor. If we were to proceed to trial the government expects that it would call witnesses to testify, including lay witnesses, victims, and coconspirators involved in the conspiracy charged in Count One, as well as law enforcement witnesses who would testify to the flow of funds that resulted from the conspiracy. The government also expects it would introduce documentary records, including purported legal documents and bank records that were

H46 Msc 12:15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 35 of 40

1 used in furtherance of the conspiracy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, were you able to hear the prosecutor a moment ago?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, for the most part.

It kind of went out in the end, the last part.

THE COURT: I believe she was indicating that the evidence at trial would include lay witnesses, coconspirators, documentary evidence, and evidence showing the flow of money between accounts, and evidence demonstrating the falsity of certain of the statements among other things. Do you understand that's the evidence that would be introduced at the trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Scolari, do you agree that there is a sufficient factual predicate for the guilty plea?

MS. SCOLARI: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why I should not accept it this morning?

MS. SCOLARI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly, do you agree that there is a sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea?

MS. REILLY: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why I should not accept it?

MS. REILLY: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, at this time do you wish to enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, because you've acknowledged that you are in fact guilty as charged in Count One, because I'm satisfied that you know of your rights, including your right to go to trial, and that you're aware of the consequences of a guilty plea, including the penalties that may be imposed, and because I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty, I'm accepting your guilty plea, I will enter a judgment of guilty on Count One of the indictment.

Now, Ms. Scolari, how do we think the probation office is going to be -- will they undertake an interview by phone?

MS. SCOLARI: There are several possibilities. They could actually travel to Fort Dix. I don't know if the budget or their offices provide for that. I think they can do an interview by phone, if we can set it up with the facility.

Normally these interviews take about two hours, and I don't know if we can set up a legal call. But perhaps, if we need to, we can ask for the Court to intervene.

The other possibility, although I don't know if they will do that in this case, is, sometimes they ask the jurisdiction where Mr. Lisi is to do the interview. That would be somebody apart from the person that would write up the report. The two pieces would be put together by the probation

H4CMSe 1.15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 37 of 40

officer and the Southern District.

THE COURT: Ms. Scolari, would you want to be present, at least by telephone, for any interview?

MS. SCOLARI: Yes, I would like to be present. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Lisi, as has been suggested by the questions I was just discussing with Ms. Scolari, the next step in this process will be preparation for sentencing, and the probation office will want to interview you in connection with the presentence investigation report that it will prepare. And I will order that the interview not take place unless Ms. Scolari is present either in person or by phone for that interview, and we will work with the probation office to find the best way of obtaining the information they need for their report from you, whether that be an in-person visit, telephone or something else. We will make sure that they understand your situation so that they can work with you and with your attorney in the best way to get the information that is needed.

Sir, my only thought to you on this front, and certainly you are going to get your legal advice from Ms. Scolari, but I would just ask that to the extent that you speak with the probation office, please see that what you tell them is as accurate and complete as it can be. I say that simply because the presentence investigation report is something I rely on very heavily at sentencing. So that report

is most useful to me when it is complete and accurate. And you will have an opportunity before I see the report to review it with Ms. Scolari, and if there are things that you'd like corrected or modified or objected to, you'll have an opportunity to do that before I get the report so that I will have everyone's complete views about the information that is contained in the report.

 $\mbox{Mr. Lopez, may I please have a sentencing date.} \label{eq:may_log_loss}$

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, your Honor.

MS. SCOLARI: I'm sorry for interrupting. Your Honor, it occurred to me that since Mr. Lisi has been incarcerated, since his last report, the probation officer may not reinterview him, but I would ask that the Court require them to do so because there have been multiple changes in circumstances, despite the fact that he's been incarcerated. His health, his mother's health, and I think other issues that are pertinent. Perhaps if we need the Court to direct a reinterview, even if it's by phone, I would appreciate it.

THE COURT: Certainly. I think you will actually be speaking with the probation office in the first instance. If you get any pushback, let me know who I need to speak to. And I understand the reasons why you want to have a reinterview, and I think that is appropriate here.

MS. SCOLARI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Given that, Ms. Scolari, is the typical

H48 MS-15-cr-00457-KPF Document 68 Filed 05/02/17 Page 39 of 40

1 | 100 days enough time or should we go out a little further?

MS. SCOLARI: Your Honor, I don't know how much time

probation would need to do the report. I guess what I would

say, since Mr. Lisi is serving a sentence, that we would prefer

to keep it within the normal range, subject to a request for

THE COURT: That's what I was thinking as well.

Mr. Lopez, may I have a date, please.

more time if we feel we need it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, your Honor. Wednesday, July 19, at 3 p.m.

THE COURT: Ms. Reilly, may I ask you, please, to get the factual statement to the probation office in the next week or so.

MS. REILLY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Scolari, could you please begin the process of arranging for Mr. Lisi's interview in the next two weeks or so.

MS. SCOLARI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And based on my individual rules of practice, I would ask for the defense sentencing submission two weeks in advance of sentencing and the government's responsive submission one week in advance of sentencing. Please let me know if there are any problems meeting that.

Ms. Scolari, perhaps this is something you may want to speak about with your client, but would you anticipate that the